

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/080,503	HIGUCHI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	L. E. Crane	1623	

**All Participants:**

(1) L. E. Crane.

(2) Stephanie Seidman.

**Status of Application: After Final Rejection**

(3) Frank Miskiel.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 21 August 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*None*

Claims discussed:  
*all remaining of record*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*None*

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Following transmittal of a FAX to Ms. Seidman in July, 2006 outlining necessary changes prior to allowance, Mr. Miskiel responded on 8/21/06 with acceptance and authorization of the proposed examiner's amendment.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)